

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

07/28/2011

ELECTRONIC

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/702,037	10/27/2000	Peter Bennett Duff Whyte	U013032-6	8344	
LADAS & PA	7590 07/28/2011 RRY LLP	EXAMINER			
1040 Avenue of the Americas NEW YORK, NY 10018-3738			WARE, DEBORAH K		
NEW TORK,	N1 10018-3/38		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1651	1651	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nyuspatactions@ladas.com nymail@ladas.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/702,037	WHYTE, PETER BENNETT DUFF	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DEBBIE K. WARE	1651	

	DEBBIE K. WARE	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 July 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>\( \)\The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following a application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request			
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	of the final rejection.				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this As no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (8).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailir b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejection.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 3 7 CFR 1.136(a). The date taxe been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sit set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing de	136(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compi filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);			
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	ected claims.			
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		,			
non-allowable claim(s).  No fro purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 28-39.46 and 75. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.		ill be entered and an explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. 🗵 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.					
12.   Note the attached information   Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Deborah K. Ware/ Deborah K. Ware Primary Examiner				

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The correct citation of the applied prior art reference is indeed 282898. The argument that the steps used in the instantly claimed invention and those of the prior art are different is noted. However, the claim 28 is so broad as to encompass other steps and colostrum in the form of an eluate. There is no language used in the claims for which to distinctly claim any particular sequence of how steps are to be carried out, nor is there an ommission of any particular step which can not be carried out. Note that other materials such as casein and whey proteins are included in a composition containing as well the IGF-1 after contact with the cation exchanger are disclosed by the reference. Thus, it is a colostrum composition which is subjected to ultrafiltration as disclosed by the reference to improve IGF-1 content and then is further subjected to ultrafiltration. Applicants' claimed method does not omit these steps and are broad enough to read on the method steps of the disclosed method for obtaining a composition comprising IGF-1. The discosed composition before ultrafiltration contains casein and colostrum derived growth factors and after ultafiltration further comprises IGF-1 in increased amounts. The instants claims provide no specific amount of IGF-1 for which to distinguish from the reference. Also, the reference after ultrafiltration will also result in obtaining an ultrafiltered colostrum retentate comprising colostrum derived growth factors and casein. Furthermore, the cited disclosure teaches that their resulting composition after ultrafiltration is subjected to spray drying. Ingestion of the disclosed composition is taught and will inherently result in an improvement of physical work capacity of a human subject because a high amount of bovine IGF-1 or colostrum derived growth factors has the same chemical structure as the human IGF-1 and is useful for bone reinforcement via increase of bone mass. The bones give the human body strength and hence to increase bone mass is equivalent to increasing the strength and physical work capacity of the human body. The stronger the body the more endurance and ability the body has to do exercise like walking. Physical work capacity can be measured by stature and bone mass clearly results in improved body mass and stature. The step of ingesting the composition is disclosed for at least 3 weeks. The reference teaches each element of the claimed method or they are believed to be inherent for the reasons discussed above and for those reasons of record.